




13. Index

1	Project Description	1-1
1.1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1-1
1.1.1	Background	1-1
1.1.2	Development of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program	1-2
1.1.3	Structure of the Program	1-4
1.2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROGRAM PURPOSE AND NEED	1-6
1.3	PROGRAM GEOGRAPHIC SCOPE	1-10
1.3.1.	CALFED Problem and Solution Areas	1-10
1.3.2	Description of the Study Area	1-12
1.4	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT PROCESS ...	1-14
1.4.1	CALFED Phase I Process	1-14
1.4.2	CALFED Phase II Process	1-17
1.5	NEXT STEPS	1-18
1.5.1	Actions That Will Be Taken Based on This Document	1-19
1.6	RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER ONGOING PROGRAMS ..	1-19
2	Alternative Descriptions	2-1
2.1	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1.1	Summary	2-1
2.1.2	Overview of the Eight Program Elements	2-2
2.2	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	2-19
2.3	ENVIRONMENTALLY PREFERABLE ALTERNATIVE	2-21
2.4	ALTERNATIVES NOT CARRIED FORWARD FOR FURTHER EVALUATION	2-23
3	Summary Comparison of Environmental Consequences	3-1
3.1	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE IMPACTS AND ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL EFFECTS	3-1
3.1.1	Summary Comparison of Environmental Impacts	3-1
3.1.2	Summary of Beneficial Impacts	3-2
3.1.3	Summary of Potentially Significant Adverse Environmental Impacts	3-2
3.1.4	Summary of Social and Economic Effects	3-2
3.2	SUMMARY OF GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS	3-3



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

3.3	SUMMARY OF SHORT- AND LONG-TERM RELATIONSHIPS	3-4
3.4	SUMMARY OF IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS	3-5
3.5	SUMMARY OF CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	3-5
3.5.1	Delta Region	3-6
3.5.2	Bay Region	3-6
3.5.3	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	3-6
3.5.4	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	3-6
3.6	MITIGATION STRATEGIES FOR CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ..	3-7
4	Guide to Impact Analyses and Description of Land Use Assumptions	4-1
4.1	GUIDE TO IMPACT ANALYSES	4-1
4.2	CEQA DOCUMENT REQUIREMENTS	4-8
4.3	ESTIMATED LAND USE CHANGES DUE TO THE CALFED PROGRAM	4-9
4.3.1	Ecosystem Restoration Program	4-10
4.3.2	Water Quality Program	4-11
4.3.3	Levee System Integrity Program	4-12
4.3.4	Storage	4-12
4.3.5	Conveyance	4-13



5 PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT **5-1**

5.1	Water Supply and Water Management	5.1-1
5.1.1	SUMMARY	5.1-1
5.1.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	5.1-3
5.1.2.1	Uncertainties in the Assessment	5.1-3
5.1.2.2	Addressing Uncertainty	5.1-4
5.1.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.1-4
5.1.3.1	Delta Region	5.1-5
5.1.3.2	Bay Region	5.1-6
5.1.3.3	Sacramento River Region	5.1-7
5.1.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	5.1-12
5.1.3.5	South-of-Delta SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.1-17
5.1.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	5.1-18
5.1.4.1	Tools	5.1-18
5.1.4.2	Addressing Uncertainty	5.1-19
5.1.4.3	Modeling Assumptions	5.1-24
5.1.4.4	Approach	5.1-24
5.1.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	5.1-25




INDEX
(CONTINUED)

5.1.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	5.1-25
5.1.6.1 Delta Region	5.1-26
5.1.6.2 Bay Region	5.1-27
5.1.6.3 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	5.1-28
5.1.6.4 South-of-Delta SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.1-30
5.1.7 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	5.1-31
5.1.7.1 Delta Region	5.1-32
5.1.7.2 Bay Region	5.1-33
5.1.7.3 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	5.1-35
5.1.7.4 South-of-Delta SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.1-36
5.1.8 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	5.1-38
5.1.8.1 Alternative 1	5.1-38
5.1.8.2 Alternative 2	5.1-45
5.1.8.3 Alternative 3	5.1-51
5.1.8.4 Preferred Program Alternative	5.1-58
5.1.9 PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.1-67
5.1.10 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	5.1-68
5.1.11 MITIGATION STRATEGIES	5.1-70
5.1.12 POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	5.1-71
5.2 Bay-Delta Hydrodynamics and Riverine Hydraulics	5.2-1
5.2.1 SUMMARY	5.2-1
5.2.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	5.2-2
5.2.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.2-3
5.2.3.1 Delta Region	5.2-3
5.2.3.2 Bay Region	5.2-5
5.2.3.3 Sacramento River Region	5.2-7
5.2.3.4 San Joaquin River Region	5.2-9
5.2.3.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.2-11
5.2.4 ASSESSMENT METHODS	5.2-11
5.2.4.1 Tools	5.2-11
5.2.4.2 Modeling Assumptions	5.2-11
5.2.4.3 Approach	5.2-11
5.2.5 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	5.2-13
5.2.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	5.2-13
5.2.6.1 Delta Region	5.2-15
5.2.6.2 Bay Region	5.2-17
5.2.6.3 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	5.2-17
5.2.7 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	5.2-19
5.2.7.1 Delta Region	5.2-19
5.2.7.2 Bay Region	5.2-20




INDEX
(CONTINUED)

5.2.7.3	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	5.2-21
5.2.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	5.2-23
5.2.8.1	Alternative 1	5.2-24
5.2.8.2	Alternative 2	5.2-30
5.2.8.3	Alternative 3	5.2-35
5.2.8.4	Preferred Program Alternative	5.2-41
5.2.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.2-50
5.2.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	5.2-52
5.2.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	5.2-53
5.2.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	5.2-53
5.3	Water Quality	5.3-1
5.3.1	SUMMARY	5.3-1
5.3.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	5.3-3
5.3.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.3-7
5.3.3.1	Delta Region	5.3-7
5.3.3.2	Bay Region	5.3-15
5.3.3.3	Sacramento River Region	5.3-16
5.3.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	5.3-17
5.3.3.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.3-17
5.3.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	5.3-18
5.3.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	5.3-20
5.3.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	5.3-21
5.3.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	5.3-23
5.3.7.1	Ecosystem Restoration Program	5.3-23
5.3.7.2	Water Quality Program	5.3-25
5.3.7.3	Levee System Integrity Program	5.3-26
5.3.7.4	Water Use Efficiency Program	5.3-26
5.3.7.5	Water Transfer Program	5.3-27
5.3.7.6	Watershed Program	5.3-28
5.3.7.7	Impacts Related to Construction for Storage and Conveyance Elements	5.3-28
5.3.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	5.3-30
5.3.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	5.3-31
5.3.8.2	Alternative 1	5.3-36
5.3.8.3	Alternative 2	5.3-39
5.3.8.4	Alternative 3	5.3-42
5.3.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.3-47
5.3.9.1	Preferred Program Alternative	5.3-47
5.3.9.2	Alternative 1	5.3-48




INDEX
(CONTINUED)

5.3.9.3	Alternative 2	5.3-50
5.3.9.4	Alternative 3	5.3-52
5.3.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	5.3-52
5.3.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	5.3-56
5.3.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	5.3-59
5.4	Groundwater Resources	5.4-1
5.4.1	SUMMARY	5.4-1
5.4.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	5.4-3
5.4.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS ..	5.4-4
5.4.3.1	Delta Region	5.4-6
5.4.3.2	Bay Region	5.4-8
5.4.3.3	Sacramento River Region	5.4-9
5.4.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	5.4-14
5.4.3.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.4-18
5.4.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	5.4-20
5.4.4.1	Tools	5.4-20
5.4.4.2	Addressing Uncertainty	5.4-22
5.4.4.3	Modeling Assumptions	5.4-22
5.4.4.4	CVGSM Modeling Results	5.4-23
5.4.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	5.4-27
5.4.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	5.4-27
5.4.6.1	Delta Region	5.4-27
5.4.6.2	Bay Region	5.4-28
5.4.6.3	Sacramento River Region	5.4-28
5.4.6.4	San Joaquin River Region	5.4-29
5.4.6.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.4-31
5.4.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	5.4-31
5.4.7.1	Delta Region	5.4-31
5.4.7.2	Bay Region	5.4-33
5.4.7.3	Sacramento River Region	5.4-35
5.4.7.4	San Joaquin River Region	5.4-40
5.4.7.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.4-42
5.4.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	5.4-43
5.4.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	5.4-43
5.4.8.2	Alternative 1	5.4-44
5.4.8.3	Alternative 2	5.4-44
5.4.8.4	Alternative 3	5.4-44
5.4.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.4-45
5.4.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	5.4-46
5.4.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	5.4-48
5.4.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS ..	5.4-49



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

5.5 Geology and Soils	5.5-1
5.5.1 SUMMARY	5.5-1
5.5.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	5.5-3
5.5.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.5-3
5.5.3.1 Delta Region	5.5-4
5.5.3.2 Bay Region	5.5-12
5.5.3.3 Sacramento River Region	5.5-13
5.5.3.4 San Joaquin River Region	5.5-17
5.5.3.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.5-20
5.5.4 ASSESSMENT METHODS	5.5-20
5.5.5 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	5.5-22
5.5.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	5.5-23
5.5.6.1 Delta Region	5.5-23
5.5.6.2 Bay Region	5.5-23
5.5.6.3 Sacramento River Region	5.5-24
5.5.6.4 San Joaquin River Region	5.5-24
5.5.6.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.5-24
5.5.7 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	5.5-24
5.5.7.1 Delta Region	5.5-24
5.5.7.2 Bay Region	5.5-26
5.5.7.3 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	5.5-28
5.5.8 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	5.5-30
5.5.8.1 Preferred Program Alternative	5.5-31
5.5.8.2 Alternative 1	5.5-31
5.5.8.3 Alternative 2	5.5-31
5.5.8.4 Alternative 3	5.5-32
5.5.9 PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.5-32
5.5.10 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	5.5-33
5.5.11 MITIGATION STRATEGIES	5.5-34
5.5.12 POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	5.5-36
5.6 Noise	5.6.1
5.6.1 SUMMARY	5.6-1
5.6.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	5.6-2
5.6.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.6-3
5.6.3.1 All Regions	5.6-3
5.6.4 ASSESSMENT METHODS	5.6-4
5.6.5 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	5.6-4
5.6.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	5.6-5



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

5.6.7 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES 5.6-5

 5.6.7.1 Delta Region 5.6-5

 5.6.7.2 Bay Region 5.6-6

 5.6.7.3 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions 5.6-7

 5.6.7.4 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas 5.6-8

5.6.8 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES 5.6-8

 5.6.8.1 Preferred Program Alternative 5.6-8

 5.6.8.2 Alternative 1 5.6-8

 5.6.8.3 Alternative 2 5.6-9

 5.6.8.4 Alternative 3 5.6-9

5.6.9 PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS 5.6-9

5.6.10 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS 5.6-10

5.6.11 MITIGATION STRATEGIES 5.6-11

5.6.12 POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS 5.6-12

5.7 Transportation 5.7-1

 5.7.1 SUMMARY 5.7-1

 5.7.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY 5.7-2

 5.7.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS 5.7-3

 5.7.3.1 Delta Region 5.7-3

 5.7.3.2 Bay Region 5.7-3

 5.7.3.3 Sacramento River Region 5.7-4

 5.7.3.4 San Joaquin River Region 5.7-4

 5.7.3.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas 5.7-5

 5.7.4 ASSESSMENT METHODS 5.7-5

 5.7.5 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA 5.7-6

 5.7.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE 5.7-6

 5.7.6.1 Delta and Bay Regions 5.7-6

 5.7.6.2 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions 5.7-7

 5.7.6.3 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas 5.7-7

 5.7.7 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES 5.7-7

 5.7.7.1 Delta Region 5.7-8

 5.7.7.2 Bay Region 5.7-9

 5.7.7.3 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions 5.7-9

 5.7.7.4 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas 5.7-11


 5.7.8 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES 5.7-11

 5.7.8.1 Preferred Program Alternative 5.7-11

 5.7.8.2 Alternative 1 5.7-11

 5.7.8.3 Alternative 2 5.7-12

 5.7.8.4 Alternative 3 5.7-12




INDEX
(CONTINUED)

5.7.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.7-12
5.7.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	5.7-13
5.7.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	5.7-14
5.7.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	5.7-15
5.8	Air Quality	5.8-1
5.8.1	SUMMARY	5.8-1
5.8.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	5.8-3
5.8.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.8-3
5.8.3.1	Delta Region	5.8-5
5.8.3.2	Bay Region	5.8-5
5.8.3.3	Sacramento River Region	5.8-6
5.8.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	5.8-7
5.8.3.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.8-8
5.8.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	5.8-9
5.8.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	5.8-9
5.8.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	5.8-10
5.8.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	5.8-10
5.8.7.1	Delta Region	5.8-10
5.8.7.2	Bay Region	5.8-12
5.8.7.3	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	5.8-13
5.8.7.4	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	5.8-14
5.8.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	5.8-14
5.8.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	5.8-14
5.8.8.2	Alternative 1	5.8-15
5.8.8.3	Alternative 2	5.8-15
5.8.8.4	Alternative 3	5.8-15
5.8.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	5.8-15
5.8.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	5.8-16
5.8.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	5.8-17
5.8.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	5.8-18



6 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

6.1	Fisheries and Aquatic Ecosystems	6.1-1
6.1.1	SUMMARY	6.1-1
6.1.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	6.1-5
6.1.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	6.1-8
6.1.3.1	Delta Region	6.1-8
6.1.3.2	Bay Region	6.1-10



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

6.1.3.3	Sacramento River Region	6.1-11
6.1.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	6.1-13
6.1.3.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	6.1-14
6.1.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	6.1-15
6.1.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	6.1-29
6.1.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	6.1-30
6.1.6.1	Delta Region	6.1-30
6.1.6.2	Bay Region	6.1-31
6.1.6.3	Sacramento River Region	6.1-31
6.1.6.4	San Joaquin River Region	6.1-32
6.1.6.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	6.1-32
6.1.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	6.1-33
6.1.7.1	Delta Region	6.1-33
6.1.7.2	Bay Region	6.1-39
6.1.7.3	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	6.1-43
6.1.7.4	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	6.1-48
6.1.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	6.1-48
6.1.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	6.1-49
6.1.8.2	Alternative 1	6.1-54
6.1.8.3	Alternative 2	6.1-54
6.1.8.4	Alternative 3	6.1-55
6.1.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	6.1-56
6.1.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	6.1-58
6.1.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	6.1-61
6.1.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	6.1-62
6.2	Vegetation and Wildlife	6.2-1
6.2.1	SUMMARY	6.2-1
6.2.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	6.2-4
6.2.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	6.2-5
6.2.3.1	Delta Region	6.2-5
6.2.3.2	Bay Region	6.2-9
6.2.3.3	Sacramento River Region	6.2-11
6.2.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	6.2-13
6.2.3.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	6.2-14
6.2.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	6.2-15
6.2.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	6.2-17
6.2.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	6.2-18
6.2.6.1	Delta Region	6.2-18
6.2.6.2	Bay Region	6.2-19
6.2.6.3	Sacramento River Region	6.2-19
6.2.6.4	San Joaquin River Region	6.2-20



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

6.2.6.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	6.2-20
6.2.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	6.2-21
6.2.7.1	Delta Region	6.2-21
6.2.7.2	Bay Region	6.2-26
6.2.7.3	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	6.2-28
6.2.7.4	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	6.2-32
6.2.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	6.2-32
6.2.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	6.2-32
6.2.8.2	Alternative 1	6.2-34
6.2.8.3	Alternative 2	6.2-34
6.2.8.4	Alternative 3	6.2-34
6.2.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	6.2-35
6.2.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	6.2-36
6.2.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	6.2-39
6.2.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	6.2-42

7 LAND USE, SOCIAL ISSUES, AND ECONOMICS

7.1	Agricultural Land and Water Use	7.1-1
7.1.1	SUMMARY	7.1-1
7.1.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.1-4
7.1.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.1-4
7.1.3.1	All Regions	7.1-4
7.1.3.2	Delta Region	7.1-7
7.1.3.3	Bay Region	7.1-8
7.1.3.4	Sacramento River Region	7.1-9
7.1.3.5	San Joaquin River Region	7.1-10
7.1.3.6	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.1-11
7.1.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.1-11
7.1.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	7.1-13
7.1.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.1-14
7.1.6.1	Delta Region	7.1-14
7.1.6.2	Bay Region	7.1-14
7.1.6.3	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	7.1-14
7.1.6.4	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.1-15
7.1.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.1-16
7.1.7.1	All Regions	7.1-16
7.1.7.2	Delta Region	7.1-17
7.1.7.3	Bay Region	7.1-20
7.1.7.4	Sacramento River Region	7.1-21



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

7.1.7.5	San Joaquin River Region	7.1-22
7.1.7.6	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.1-24
7.1.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.1-25
7.1.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	7.1-25
7.1.8.2	Alternative 1	7.1-27
7.1.8.3	Alternative 2	7.1-27
7.1.8.4	Alternative 3	7.1-27
7.1.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.1-27
7.1.9.1	Preferred Program Alternative	7.1-27
7.1.9.2	Alternative 1	7.1-28
7.1.9.3	Alternative 2	7.1-28
7.1.9.4	Alternative 3	7.1-28
7.1.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.1-29
7.1.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	7.1-30
7.1.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	7.1-32
7.2	Agricultural Economics	7.2-1
7.2.1	SUMMARY	7.2-1
7.2.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.2-2
7.2.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.2-3
7.2.3.1	Delta Region	7.2-4
7.2.3.2	Bay Region	7.2-6
7.2.3.3	Sacramento River Region	7.2-6
7.2.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	7.2-7
7.2.3.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.2-8
7.2.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.2-9
7.2.5	CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING ADVERSE EFFECTS	7.2-9
7.2.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.2-10
7.2.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.2-11
7.2.7.1	Delta Region	7.2-11
7.2.7.2	Bay Region	7.2-15
7.2.7.3	Sacramento River Region	7.2-15
7.2.7.4	San Joaquin River Region	7.2-19
7.2.7.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.2-22
7.2.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.2-24
7.2.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	7.2-24
7.2.8.2	Alternative 1	7.2-25
7.2.8.3	Alternative 2	7.2-25
7.2.8.4	Alternative 3	7.2-26
7.2.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.2-26



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

7.2.9.1	Preferred Program Alternative	7.2-26
7.2.9.2	Alternative 1	7.2-27
7.2.9.3	Alternative 2	7.2-27
7.2.9.4	Alternative 3	7.2-27
7.2.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.2-27
7.2.11	ADVERSE EFFECTS	7.2-28

7.3	Agricultural Social Issues	7.3-1
7.3.1	SUMMARY	7.3-1
7.3.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.3-2
7.3.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.3-2
7.3.3.1	All Regions	7.3-2
7.3.3.2	Delta Region	7.3-7
7.3.3.3	Bay Region	7.3-7
7.3.3.4	Sacramento River Region	7.3-8
7.3.3.5	San Joaquin River Region	7.3-8
7.3.3.6	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.3-8
7.3.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.3-9
7.3.5	CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING ADVERSE EFFECTS	7.3-9
7.3.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.3-9
7.3.6.1	All Regions	7.3-9
7.3.6.2	Delta Region	7.3-10
7.3.6.3	Bay Region, Sacramento River Region, and Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.3-10
7.3.6.4	San Joaquin River Region	7.3-11
7.3.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.3-11
7.3.7.1	All Regions	7.3-11
7.3.7.2	Delta Region	7.3-13
7.3.7.3	Bay Region	7.3-15
7.3.7.4	Sacramento River Region	7.3-15
7.3.7.5	San Joaquin River Region	7.3-17
7.3.7.6	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.3-18
7.3.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.3-18
7.3.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	7.3-19
7.3.8.2	Alternative 1	7.3-20
7.3.8.3	Alternative 2	7.3-20
7.3.8.4	Alternative 3	7.3-21
7.3.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.3-21
7.3.9.1	Preferred Program Alternative	7.3-21
7.3.9.2	Alternative 1	7.3-22
7.3.9.3	Alternative 2	7.3-22
7.3.9.4	Alternative 3	7.3-22
7.3.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.3-22



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

7.3.11 ADVERSE EFFECTS 7.3-23

7.4 Urban Land Use 7.4-1

7.4.1 SUMMARY 7.4-1

7.4.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY 7.4-2

7.4.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS 7.4-3

 7.4.3.1 Delta Region 7.4-3

 7.4.3.2 Bay Region 7.4-4

 7.4.3.3 Sacramento River Region 7.4-4

 7.4.3.4 San Joaquin River Region 7.4-5

 7.4.3.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas 7.4-5

7.4.4 ASSESSMENT METHODS 7.4-6

7.4.5 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA 7.4-7

7.4.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE 7.4-7

7.4.7 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON
TO ALL ALTERNATIVES 7.4-7

 7.4.7.1 Delta and Bay Regions 7.4-8

 7.4.7.2 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions 7.4-9

 7.4.7.3 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas 7.4-9

7.4.8 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER
AMONG ALTERNATIVES 7.4-10

 7.4.8.1 Preferred Program Alternative 7.4-10

 7.4.8.2 Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 7.4-10

7.4.9 PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING
CONDITIONS 7.4-11

7.4.10 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS 7.4-11

7.4.11 MITIGATION STRATEGIES 7.4-12

7.4.12 POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE
IMPACTS 7.4-13

7.5 Urban Water Supply Economics 7.5-1

7.5.1 SUMMARY 7.5-1

7.5.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY 7.5-3

7.5.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS 7.5-4

 7.5.3.1 Delta Region 7.5-4

 7.5.3.2 Bay Region 7.5-9

 7.5.3.3 Sacramento River Region 7.5-12

 7.5.3.4 San Joaquin River Region 7.5-14

 7.5.3.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas 7.5-16

7.5.4 ASSESSMENT METHODS 7.5-18

7.5.5 CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING ADVERSE EFFECTS 7.5-26

7.5.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE 7.5-26

 7.5.6.1 Delta Region 7.5-27

 7.5.6.2 Bay Region 7.5-28

 7.5.6.3 Sacramento River Region 7.5-29




INDEX
(CONTINUED)

7.5.6.4	San Joaquin River Region	7.5-30
7.5.6.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.5-30
7.5.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.5-31
7.5.7.1	Delta Region	7.5-32
7.5.7.2	Bay Region	7.5-33
7.5.7.3	Sacramento River Region	7.5-34
7.5.7.4	San Joaquin River Region	7.5-35
7.5.7.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.5-36
7.5.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.5-36
7.5.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	7.5-36
7.5.8.2	Alternative 1	7.5-41
7.5.8.3	Alternative 2	7.5-41
7.5.8.4	Alternative 3	7.5-43
7.5.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.5-43
7.5.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.5-44
7.5.11	ADVERSE EFFECTS	7.5-45
7.5.12	LCPSIM URBAN WATER SUPPLY ECONOMICS ASSESSMENT	7.5-45
7.6	Utilities and Public Services	7.6-1
7.6.1	SUMMARY	7.6-1
7.6.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.6-2
7.6.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.6-3
7.6.3.1	Delta Region	7.6-3
7.6.3.2	Bay Region	7.6-4
7.6.3.3	Sacramento River Region	7.6-5
7.6.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	7.6-6
7.6.3.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.6-7
7.6.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.6-9
7.6.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	7.6-10
7.6.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.6-10
7.6.6.1	Delta Region	7.6-10
7.6.6.2	Bay and Sacramento River Regions	7.6-11
7.6.6.3	San Joaquin River Region	7.6-11
7.6.6.4	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.6-11
7.6.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.6-11
7.6.7.1	All Regions	7.6-11
7.6.7.2	Delta Region	7.6-13
7.6.7.3	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	7.6-14
7.6.7.4	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.6-14
7.6.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER	





INDEX
(CONTINUED)

AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.6-15
7.6.8.1 Preferred Program Alternative	7.6-15
7.6.8.2 Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	7.6-15
7.6.9 PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING	
CONDITIONS	7.6-16
7.6.10 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.6-17
7.6.11 MITIGATION STRATEGIES	7.6-18
7.6.12 POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE	
IMPACTS	7.6-18
7.7 Recreation Resources	7.7-1
7.7.1 SUMMARY	7.7-1
7.7.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.7-3
7.7.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.7-4
7.7.3.1 Delta Region	7.7-4
7.7.3.2 Bay Region	7.7-8
7.7.3.3 Sacramento River Region	7.7-12
7.7.3.4 San Joaquin River Region	7.7-14
7.7.3.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.7-15
7.7.4 ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.7-16
7.7.5 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	7.7-17
7.7.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.7-17
7.7.6.1 Delta Region	7.7-17
7.7.6.2 Bay Region	7.7-18
7.7.6.3 Sacramento River Region	7.7-19
7.7.6.4 San Joaquin River Region	7.7-20
7.7.6.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.7-20
7.7.7 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON	
TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.7-21
7.7.7.1 Delta Region	7.7-21
7.7.7.2 Bay Region	7.7-25
7.7.7.3 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	7.7-27
7.7.7.4 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.7-29
7.7.8 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER	
AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.7-30
7.7.8.1 Preferred Program Alternative	7.7-30
7.7.8.2 Alternative 1	7.7-31
7.7.8.3 Alternative 2	7.7-33
7.7.8.4 Alternative 3	7.7-34
7.7.9 PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING	
CONDITIONS	7.7-36
7.7.10 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.7-37
7.7.11 MITIGATION STRATEGIES	7.7-39
7.7.12 POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE	
IMPACTS	7.7-41



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

7.8 Flood Control	7.8-1
7.8.1 SUMMARY	7.8-1
7.8.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.8-4
7.8.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.8-5
7.8.3.1 Delta Region	7.8-6
7.8.3.2 Bay Region	7.8-12
7.8.3.3 Sacramento River Region	7.8-13
7.8.3.4 San Joaquin River Region	7.8-16
7.8.3.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.8-17
7.8.4 ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.8-17
7.8.5 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	7.8-19
7.8.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.8-20
7.8.6.1 Delta Region	7.8-20
7.8.6.2 Bay Region	7.8-23
7.8.6.3 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	7.8-24
7.8.7 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.8-24
7.8.7.1 All Regions	7.8-25
7.8.7.2 Delta Region	7.8-25
7.8.7.3 Bay Region	7.8-29
7.8.7.4 Sacramento River Region	7.8-30
7.8.7.5 San Joaquin River Region	7.8-31
7.8.8 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.8-33
7.8.8.1 Preferred Program Alternative	7.8-33
7.8.8.2 Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	7.8-34
7.8.9 PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.8-35
7.8.10 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.8-36
7.8.11 MITIGATION STRATEGIES	7.8-37
7.8.12 POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	7.8-40
7.9 Power Production and Energy	7.9-1
7.9.1 SUMMARY	7.9-1
7.9.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.9-3
7.9.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.9-3
7.9.3.1 All Regions	7.9-4
7.9.4 ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.9-6
7.9.5 CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING EFFECTS	7.9-10
7.9.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.9-12
7.9.7 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.9-13
7.9.7.1 Preferred Program Alternative	7.9-13
7.9.7.2 Alternative 1	7.9-22
7.9.7.3 Alternative 2	7.9-24



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

7.9.7.4 Alternative 3 7.9-26

7.9.8 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER
AMONG ALTERNATIVES 7.9-27

7.9.9 PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING
CONDITIONS 7.9-28

7.9.10 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS 7.9-28

7.9.11 MITIGATION STRATEGIES 7.9-31

7.9.12 POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE
IMPACTS 7.9-31

7.10 Regional Economics 7.10-1

7.10.1 SUMMARY 7.10-1

7.10.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY 7.10-1

7.10.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 7.10-2

7.10.3.1 Delta Region 7.10-2

7.10.3.2 Bay Region 7.10-4

7.10.3.3 Sacramento River Region 7.10-5

7.10.3.4 San Joaquin River Region 7.10-5

7.10.3.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas 7.10-6

7.10.4 ASSESSMENT METHODS 7.10-6

7.10.5 CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING EFFECTS 7.10-8

7.10.6 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE 7.10-8

7.10.7 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON
TO ALL ALTERNATIVES 7.10-9

7.10.7.1 Delta Region 7.10-9

7.10.7.2 Bay Region 7.10-14

7.10.7.3 Sacramento River Region 7.10-15

7.10.7.4 San Joaquin River Region 7.10-17

7.10.7.5 Other SWP and CVP Service Areas 7.10-18

7.10.8 CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER
AMONG ALTERNATIVES 7.10-19

7.10.8.1 Preferred Program Alternative 7.10-19

7.10.8.2 Alternative 1 7.10-20

7.10.8.3 Alternative 2 7.10-21

7.10.8.4 Alternative 3 7.10-21

7.10.9 PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING
CONDITIONS 7.10-22

7.10.10 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS 7.10-23

7.10.11 ADVERSE EFFECTS 7.10-25


7.11 Cultural Resources 7.11-1

7.11.1 SUMMARY 7.11-1

7.11.2 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY 7.11-3

7.11.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 7.11-3

7.11.3.1 Delta Region 7.11-3





INDEX
(CONTINUED)

7.11.3.2	Bay Region	7.11-6
7.11.3.3	Sacramento River Region	7.11-7
7.11.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	7.11-8
7.11.3.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.11-9
7.11.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.11-10
7.11.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	7.11-11
7.11.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.11-11
7.11.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.11-12
7.11.7.1	Delta Region	7.11-12
7.11.7.2	Bay Region	7.11-13
7.11.7.3	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	7.11-14
7.11.7.4	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.11-15
7.11.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.11-15
7.11.8.1	All Alternatives	7.11-15
7.11.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.11-16
7.11.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.11-17
7.11.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	7.11-18
7.11.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	7.11-19
7.12	Public Health and Environmental Hazards	7.12-1
7.12.1	SUMMARY	7.12-1
7.12.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.12-3
7.12.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.12-4
7.12.3.1	Delta and Bay Regions	7.12-4
7.12.3.2	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	7.12-7
7.12.3.3	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.12-8
7.12.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.12-8
7.12.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	7.12-9
7.12.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.12-9
7.12.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.12-10
7.12.7.1	Delta and Bay Regions	7.12-10
7.12.7.2	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	7.12-13
7.12.7.3	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.12-14
7.12.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.12-14
7.12.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	7.12-14
7.12.8.2	Alternative 1	7.12-15
7.12.8.3	Alternative 2	7.12-15
7.12.8.4	Alternative 3	7.12-15




INDEX
(CONTINUED)

7.12.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.12-16
7.12.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.12-17
7.12.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	7.12-18
7.12.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	7.12-19
7.13	Visual Resources	7.13-1
7.13.1	SUMMARY	7.13-1
7.13.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.13-3
7.13.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS ...	7.13-3
7.13.3.1	Delta Region	7.13-3
7.13.3.2	Bay Region	7.13-4
7.13.3.3	Sacramento River Region	7.13-5
7.13.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	7.13-6
7.13.3.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.13-7
7.13.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.13-8
7.13.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	7.13-8
7.13.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.13-9
7.13.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.13-9
7.13.7.1	Delta Region	7.13-9
7.13.7.2	Bay Region	7.13-11
7.13.7.3	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	7.13-12
7.13.7.4	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.13-14
7.13.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.13-14
7.13.8.1	All Alternatives	7.13-14
7.13.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.13-15
7.13.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.13-16
7.13.11	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	7.13-18
7.13.12	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	7.13-19
7.14	Environmental Justice	7.14-1
7.14.1	SUMMARY	7.14-1
7.14.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.14-2
7.14.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS ...	7.14-2
7.14.3.1	Delta Region	7.14-2
7.14.3.2	Bay Region	7.14-3
7.14.3.3	Sacramento River Region	7.14-4
7.14.3.4	San Joaquin River Region	7.14-4
7.14.3.5	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.14-4
7.14.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.14-5



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

7.14.5	CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING EFFECTS	7.14-6
7.14.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.14-6
7.14.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.14-7
7.14.7.1	All Regions	7.14-7
7.14.8	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT DIFFER AMONG ALTERNATIVES	7.14-9
7.14.8.1	Preferred Program Alternative	7.14-10
7.14.8.2	Alternative 1	7.14-10
7.14.8.3	Alternative 2	7.14-10
7.14.8.4	Alternative 3	7.14-10
7.14.9	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.14-11
7.14.10	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.14-11
7.14.11	ADVERSE EFFECTS	7.14-12
7.15	Indian Trust Assets	7.15-1
7.15.1	SUMMARY	7.15-1
7.15.2	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	7.15-1
7.15.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.15-2
7.15.3.1	Delta and Bay Regions	7.15-2
7.15.3.2	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	7.15-2
7.15.3.3	SWP and CVP Service Areas Outside the Central Valley	7.15-2
7.15.4	ASSESSMENT METHODS	7.15-2
7.15.5	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	7.15-3
7.15.6	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	7.15-3
7.15.7	CONSEQUENCES: PROGRAM ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES	7.15-3
7.15.7.1	Delta and Bay Regions	7.15-3
7.15.7.2	Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Regions	7.15-4
7.15.7.3	Other SWP and CVP Service Areas	7.15-4
7.15.8	PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITIONS	7.15-4
7.15.9	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	7.15-4
7.15.10	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	7.15-5
7.15.11	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	7.15-5
8	Compliance with Applicable Laws, Policies, and Plans and Regulatory Framework	8-1
8.1	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AT THE PROGRAMMATIC LEVEL	8-2
8.1.1	NEPA/CEQA	8-2
8.1.2	Federal/State Endangered Species Acts	8-3
8.1.3	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	8-5




INDEX
(CONTINUED)

8.1.4	Compliance with Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines	8-5
8.1.5	The Coastal Zone Management Act	8-7
8.1.6	The National Historic Preservation Act	8-8
8.1.7	The Farmland Protection Policy Act and Memoranda on Farmland Preservation	8-8
8.1.8	The Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996	8-9
8.1.9	Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management)	8-9
8.1.10	Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands)	8-10
8.1.11	Executive Order 12898 (Environmental Justice)	8-10
8.1.12	Executive Order 13007 (Indian Sacred Sites) and April 29, 1994 Executive Memorandum	8-11
8.1.13	Federal Clean Air Act	8-11
8.1.14	Climate Change	8-12
8.1.15	State, Regional, and Local Plan Consistency	8-13
8.2	REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	8-14
8.2.1	Delta Protection Commission	8-14
8.2.2	The Delta Protection Act of 1959	8-14
8.2.3	Porter-Cologne Act	8-14
8.2.4	Decision-1485 and the 1978 Water Quality Control Plan	8-15
8.2.5	1995 Water Quality Control Plan	8-16
8.2.6	Clean Water Act—Section 303(d)	8-17
8.2.7	Federal Guidance on Water Quality for Toxic Pollutants	8-17
8.2.8	Suisun Marsh Preservation Agreement	8-17
8.2.9	Water Rights	8-18
8.3	DRINKING WATER REQUIREMENTS	8-19
8.3.1	Safe Drinking Water Act	8-19
8.3.2	National Primary Drinking Water Standards	8-19
8.3.3	National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations	8-20
8.3.4	Trihalomethane Regulations	8-20
8.3.5	Federal Lead and Copper Rule	8-21
8.3.6	Federal Surface Water Treatment Rule	8-21
8.3.7	California Surface Water Treatment Regulations	8-22
8.3.8	California Total Coliform Regulations	8-22
8.3.9	California Nonpoint Source Program	8-23
8.4	FEDERAL AND STATE COORDINATION FOR A DELTA SOLUTION	8-24
8.4.1	Bay-Delta Framework Agreement and Bay-Delta Accord/Restoration Coordination	8-24
8.4.2	Central Valley Project Improvement Act	8-25
8.4.3	California-Federal Operations Group	8-25
8.5	PUBLIC TRUST	8-26
8.6	WATER USE EFFICIENCY	8-26



INDEX
(CONTINUED)

9	Mitigation Strategies Monitoring Plan	9-1
9.1	INTRODUCTION	9-1
9.2	MITIGATION STRATEGIES	9-1
9.3	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROCESS	9-3
10	Public and Agency Involvement	10-1
10.1	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	10-1
10.1.1	Public Workshops	10-1
10.1.2	Public Meetings	10-2
10.1.3	Programmatic EIS/EIR Scoping and Comment Meetings	10-2
10.1.4	Revised Phase II Report Workshops	10-3
10.1.5	Multi-Cultural Public Outreach	10-3
10.1.6	Speakers Bureau/Community Presentations	10-3
10.1.7	Educational Materials/Direct Mail	10-5
10.1.8	Media Contacts	10-5
10.1.9	Legislative Briefings	10-6
10.1.10	Project Public Information Line/Project Website	10-6
10.1.11	NEPA/CEQA Notices	10-7
10.1.12	Multi-Species Conservation Strategy Plan Scoping Meetings ..	10-7
10.1.13	Public Comment Letters	10-7
10.1.14	Scientific Review Panel	10-7
10.1.15	Bromide Panel	10-8
10.1.16	Diversion Effects on Fisheries Team	10-8
10.1.17	Bay-Delta Advisory Council	10-8
10.1.18	BDAC Work Groups	10-9
10.1.16	Groundwater Outreach Program	10-11
10.2	AGENCY INVOLVEMENT	10-12
10.2.1	CALFED Policy Group	10-12
10.2.2	Public Affairs Group	10-13
10.2.3	Operations Coordination Group	10-13
10.2.4	CALFED Technical Teams	10-13
10.2.5	CALFED Impact Analysis Teams	10-14
10.2.6	CALFED Agency Review Team (CART)	10-14
10.3	FUTURE CALFED ACTIONS	10-14
10.3.1	Scheduled Public Hearings and Meetings	10-14



11	List of Preparers	11-1
-----------	--------------------------------	-------------




12	Bibliography	12-1
-----------	---------------------------	-------------



Attachments

Attachment A. Information about the No Action Alternative; Modeling Assumptions for Existing Conditions, the No Action Alternative, and the Program Alternatives; and Actions That May Contribute to Cumulative Impacts	A-1
A.1 SUMMARY	A-1
A.2 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	A-1
A.3 MODELING APPROACH AND ASSUMPTIONS FOR EXISTING CONDITIONS, THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE, AND PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES	A-3
A.4 COMMENTS AND ISSUES ON THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	A-27
A.5 ACTIONS THAT MAY CONTRIBUTE TO CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	A-34
Attachment B. The CALFED Program Decision	B-1
B.1 OVERVIEW	B-1
B.2 PREFERRED PROGRAM ALTERNATIVE	B-3
B.3 NEAR-TERM ACTIONS	B-12
B.4 IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY	B-14



Appendices

Revised Phase II Report
Implementation Plan
Ecosystem Restoration Program Plan
Volume I: Ecological Attributes of the San Francisco Bay-Delta Watershed
Volume II: Ecological Management Zone Visions
Volume III: Strategic Plan for Ecosystem Restoration
Long-Term Levee Protection Plan
Water Quality Program Plan
Water Use Efficiency Program Plan
Water Transfer Program Plan
Watershed Program Plan
Multi-Species Conservation Strategy
Comprehensive Monitoring, Assessment, and Research Program

