

Agenda Item 11

Proposition 50

Implementation

Department of Health Services
Status of Implementation for
Chapters 3, 4, 6(b) and 6(c)

Background

- DHS responsible for implementing
 - Chapter 3: Water Security (\$50 million)
 - Chapter 4: Safe Drinking Water (\$435 million)
 - 6 new grant programs
 - Chapter 6 (b) and (c): Contaminant Removal Technologies (\$50 million)
(through an Interagency agreement w/ DWR)

Implementation Status

May 2004

- Prepared draft criteria
- Held 2 public meetings in Feb. 2004
- Modified criteria in response to comments
- Submitted criteria for Chapters 3 and 4 to DHS; approval pending
- Will submit draft criteria for Chapter 6 to DWR
- DWR will obtain required approval for Chapter 6 criteria

Implementation Plan

- Pre-applications for Chapters 3 and 4 to be released Fall 2004
- Pre-applications due 60 days after release
- Project selection and funding invitations by mid-2005
- Chapter 6 pre-applications to be released after approval of criteria

Other Issues

- Personnel
 - Difficulty hiring Prop 50 staff
- No funding specifically designated for CALFED drinking water program
 - Applicants to specify if project within CALFED solution area

DHS Prop 50 Funding Process and Timeline

Task	Estimated Time
DHS announces Requests for Pre-Applications	Aug - Sept 2004
Applicants submit Pre-Applications	Oct - Nov 2004 (60 days)
DHS reviews and ranks pre-applications with internal and external review committees	Up to 90 days
DHS releases Draft Project Priority List (PPL)	Jan - Feb 2004
Public comment on Draft PPL	45 days
DHS publishes Final PPL	Mar - Apr 2005
DHS invites full applications for projects in fundable portion of Final PPL	May - June 2005
Applicants submit full applications to DHS	
DHS receives and process application; applicant fulfills remaining requirements; Applicant executes funding agreement with DWR	

Chapter 3 Water Security Ranking Criteria

- For projects designed to prevent damage to water treatment, distribution, and supply facilities, to prevent disruption of drinking water deliveries, and to protect drinking water supplies from intentional contamination (\$50 million)
- Projects ranked first by bonus points
 - Emergency interties
 - Address multiple water systems
- Projects with same number of bonus points then ranked by population served
 - Priority to largest population benefiting from project
 - “Population” includes transient or seasonal populations (for this program only)

Chapter 4

Safe Drinking Water

Chapter 4(a)

- 5 New grant programs (\$14 million each)
 1. Small community water system monitoring, treatment and distribution facilities
 2. New contaminant removal and treatment technologies
 3. Community water system monitoring facilities
 4. Drinking water source protection
 5. Disinfection byproduct (DBP) treatment facilities
- Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) state match (\$90 million)

Chapter 4(b)

- Southern California Projects (\$260 million)
(Grants to reduce Colorado River use to 4.4 MAF)

Chapter 4(a)

Ranking Criteria

- Different ranking process for each grant program that considers:
 - Health based categories
 - Population (largest first)
(for programs 1 and 3)
 - Additional criteria specific to each grant program

Chapter 4(b)

Southern California Projects Ranking Criteria

- Each project assigned points for 3 criteria:
 1. Health based categories + population
(largest first)
 2. Volume of Colorado River demand reduced
(largest volume first)
 3. Cost per volume of demand reduced
(lowest cost first)
- Points for each criterion added to determine score for each project